





UNITED STATES ARMY ENVIRONMENTAL HYGIENE AGENCY

ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MD 21016

TOPICAL HAZARD EVALUATION PROGRAM OF CANDIDATE INSECT REPELLENTS

A13-36325, 1-(CYCLOHEXYLCARBONYL) HEXAHYDRO-1H-AZEPINE,

A13-36326, N,N-DIPROPYL-CYCLOHEXANECARBOXAMIDE,

AND A13-36328, 1-((6-METHYL-3-CYCLOHEXEN-1-YL)CARBONYL)-PYRROLIDINE,

STUDY NOS. 75-51-0833-80, 75-51-0834-80, AND 75-51-0835-80

OCTOBER 1975 - APRIL 1980

2 Final rept. Get 15-16.

10 Allan W. Singer

10 Apr 80 29 AUG 6 1980

10 USAEHA-75-51-0833-80,

USAEHA-75-51-0834-80

A

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

80 8 6 037

1.7.70

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (Thes Date Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM		
1. REPORT NUMBER	75-51-0833-80, 2. GOVT ACCESSION	NO. 2. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER		
I ■ "	and 75-51-0835-80 HD-H087 6	47		
4. TITLE (and Subtitle)	Copical Hazard Evaluation Program	Of S. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED		
Candidate Insect	Repellents AI3-36325, 1-(cycloho	ex- Final, Oct 75 - Apr 80		
propyl-cyclohexa	nydro-1H-Azepine, AI3-36326, N,N- necarboxamide, and AI3-36328, 1-	112 Final, Oct 75 - Apr 60		
methy1-3-cyclohe	exen-1-y1)carbony1}-Pyrrolidine,8-80,75-51-0834-80,and 75-51-0835-	LUCY PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER		
7. AUTHOR(a) Octol	ber 1975 - April 1980	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)		
ALLEN W. SINGER	, CPT, VC			
	IZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, YASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS		
US Army Environm	mental Hygiene Agency			
Aberdeen Proving	Ground, MD 21010	}		
	ICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12. REPORT DATE		
Commander		Oct 75 - Apr 80		
US Army Health S		13. NUMBER OF PAGES		
Fort Sam Houston	1. TX /8234 CY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Offi	ce) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)		
Ï		Unclassified		
		,		
Ì.	·	15e. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE		
16. DISTRIBUTION STAT	FEMENT (of this Report)			
Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.				
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)				
16. SUPPLEMENTARY N	OTES			
	on reverse side if necessary and identify by block ma			
AI3-36325 AI3-36326	Phototoxic N,N- Sensitization 1-[(dipropyl-cyclohexanecarboxamide		
AI3-36328		6-methyl-3-cyclohexen-1-yl) rbonyl]-Pyrrolidine		
ALD	Topical Hazard Evaluation Progra	m		
Eye Irritation	1-(cyclohexylcarbonyl)hexahydro-	lH-Azepine		
Preliminary hazard evaluations of the above candidate repellents were performed by means of laboratory animal studies using rats, rabbits, and guinea pigs. None of the compounds caused any phototoxic or skin sensitization reactions, nor were they acutely toxic by ingestion. AI3-36325 and AI3-36328 caused moderate corneal and conjunctival injuries, however, AI3-36326 and AI3-36328 caused mild skin irritation.				
	1			

DD 1 JAN 79 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 85 IS OBSOLETE

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Brit



CPT Singer/1m/AUTOVON DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY 584-3627 U. S. ARMY ENVIRONMENTAL HYGIENE AGENCY ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MARYLAND 21010

HSE-LT/WP

1 8 JUL 1980

SUBJECT: Topical Hazard Evaluation Program of Candidate Insect Repellents

AI3-36325, 1-(cyclohexylcarbonyl)hexahydro-1H-Azepine, AI3-36326, N,N-dipropyl-cyclohexanecarboxamide, and

AI3-36328, 1-[(6-methyl-3-cyclohexen-1-yl)carbonyl]-Pyrrolidine, Study Nos. 75-51-0833-80, 75-51-0834-80, 75-51-0835-80,

October 1975 - April 1980

Executive Secretary Armed Forces Pest Management Board Forest Glen Section, WRAMC Washington, DC 20012

A summary of the pertinent findings and recommendations of the inclosed report follows:

Preliminary hazard evaluations of the above candidate repellents were performed by means of laboratory animal studies using rats, rabbits, and guinea pigs. None of the compounds caused any phototoxic or skin sensitization reactions, nor were they acutely toxic by ingestion. AI3-36325 and AI3-36328 caused moderate corneal and conjunctival injuries, however, AI3-36326 and AI3-36328 caused mild skin irritation. Due to the skin and corneal irritation, it was recommended that AI3-36325, AI3-36326, and AI3-36328 be handled with caution near the face and eyes.

FOR THE COMMANDER:

1 Incl as (5 cy)

HQDA (DASG-PSP)

Cdr, HSC (HSPA-P)

Dir, Advisory Ctr on Tox, NRC

Supt, AHS (HSA-IPM)

USDA, ARS (Dr. Terrence McGovern)

USDA, ARS-Southern Region

JOHN E. MAZUR
MAJ. MSC

Director, Laboratory Services

1		
Acces	e i ca i e	
Bris	V V.L.	1
Di li	خد	
چەمسى ئىدنا	raine al	
Jan Ca	ាមផ្លាស់	
By		
<u>Decom</u>	1911	******* *** ********
_A:::\1	وراء المعط	<u> </u>
	At all to (d)	or .
Dist	special	1
1/1_		
MT		
	l i	į



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY U. S. ARMY ENVIRONMENTAL HYGIENE AGENCY ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MARYLAND 21010

TOPICAL HAZARD EVALUATION PROGRAM OF CANDIDATE INSECT REPELLENTS
AI3-36325, 1-(CYCLOHEXYLCARBONYL)HEXAHYDRO-1H-AZEPINE,
AI3-36326, N,N-DIPROPYL-CYCLOHEXANECARBOXAMIDE,
AND AI3-36328, 1-[(6-METHYL-3-CYCLOHEXEN-1-YL)CARBONYL]-PYRROLIDINE,
STUDY NOS. 75-51-0833-80, 75-51-0834-80, AND 75-51-0835-80
OCTOBER 1975 - APRIL 1980

1. AUTHORITY.

- a. Letter, US Department of Agriculture Agricultural Research Service, Southern Region, Insects Affecting Man Research Laboratory, Gainesville, Florida, 31 October 1975.
- b. Memorandum of Understanding between the US Army Environmental Hygiene Agency; the US Army Health Services Command, the Department of the Army Office of The Surgeon General, the Armed Forces Pest Control Board; and the US Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research, Science and Education Administration, titled, Coordination of Biological and Toxicological Testing of Pesticides, effective 23 January 1979.
- 2. REFERENCE. Toxicology Division Procedural Guide, US Army Environmental Hygiene Agency (USAEHA), 1972, revised 1976.
- 3. PURPOSE. The purpose of this program is to provide guidance for further entomological testing of the candidate insect repellents AI3-36325, AI3-36326, and AI3-36328.
- 4. SUMMARY OF FINDINGS. Hazard evaluations of the above candidate repellents were conducted by this Agency using New Zealand White rabbits for skin and eye studies, Hartley guinea pigs for a skin sensitization study, and Sprague-Dawley rats for determination of oral toxicity. A tabular presentation of animal toxicity data developed in this Agency follows:*t

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

^{*} In conducting the studies described in this report, the investigators adhered to the "Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals," US Department of Health, Education and Welfare Publication No. (NIH) 74-23, revised 1978.

t The experiments reported herein were performed in animal facilities fully accredited by the American Association for the Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care.

Study Nos. 75-51-0833-80, 75-51-0834-80, 75-51-0835-80, Oct 75 - Apr 80

TABLE. PRESENTATION OF DATA

Test	Results	Interpretation
SKIN IRRITATION STUDIES	•	
Rabbits		
Single 24-hour application to intact and abraded skin of New Zealand White rabbits.	Compound AI3-36325 did not cause any irritation of the intact skin or of the skin surrounding an abrasion.	USAEHA Category I (ref Appendix).
<pre>0.5 mL of each technical grade compound applied to each of six rabbits.</pre>	Compounds AI3-36326 and and AI3-36328 caused mild irritation of intact and abraded skin.	USAEHA Category II (ref Appendix).
EYE IRRITATION STUDIES		
Rabbits		
Single 24-hour application of 0.1 mL of each technical grade compound to one eye of each of six New Zealand White rabbits.	Compound AI3-36326 caused mild irritation to corneal and conjunctival tissues.	USAEHA Category C (ref Appendix).
Lealand white rappits.	Compounds AI3-36325 and AI3-36328 caused moderate irritation to the corneal and con- junctival in all rabbits.	USAEHA Category E (ref Appendix).
APPROXIMATE LETHAL DOSE (ALD)		
<u>Oral</u>		
Rats (male) - no diluent	ALD for AI3-36325: 1900 mg/kg.	None of the compounds present a lethal hazard from accidental ingestion
	ALD for AI3-36326: >4300 mg/kg. ALD for AI3-36328: >4300 mg/kg.	

Test

Results

Interpretation

PHOTOCHEMICAL SKIN IRRITATION STUDIES

Rabbits

A single 0.05 mL application of a 25 percent (w/v) solution of each compound and a 10 percent (w/v) 0il of Bergamot solution (positive control) in 95 percent ethyl alcohol were applied to the intact skin of six rabbits. Five minutes after application, the rabbits were exposed to UV light (365 nm) for 30 minutes at a distance of 10-15 cm.

None of the 25 percent solutions in ethanol caused a photochemical irritation reaction under test conditions.

Both AI3-36325 and AI3-36326, however, caused a mild primary skin irritation to both the irradiated and nonirradiated skin as applied.

None of the compounds caused photochemical irritation reactions under test conditions and none are expected to cause photochemical irritation in humans.

Ethanol solutions of AI3-36325 and AI3-36326 may cause primary irritation to human skin.

Control

Following UV exposures of the rabbits, 0.05 mL of the test compounds, positive control and diluent were applied to additional skin areas to serve as unirradiated control sites. Application areas were checked for skin irritation at 24, 48 and 72 hours.

Positive control application and irradiation caused greater irritant effects than in unirradiated skin areas.

Test

Results

Interpretation

SENSITIZATION STUDIES

Guinea Pigs (Male)

Intradermal injections of 0.1 mL of a 0.1 percent solution (w/v) of the compounds or of dinitrochlorobenzene (DNCB)* in a mixture containing 1 volume of propylene glycol and 29 volumes of saline.

Ten test guinea pigs were given 10 sensitizing doses over a 3-week period. After 2 weeks rest, they were challenged with ID injections of test compound.

Ten positive control guinea pigs were sensitized in positive control over 3 weeks with DNCB. After 2 weeks rest, they were challenged with ID injections of DNCB.

None of the compound challenge doses produced a sensitization reaction.

Challenge dose of DNCB pigs produced a marked sensitization reaction in 10 out of 10 guinea pigs.

None of these test compounds produced a sensitization reaction under test conditions and none are expected to produce a sensitization reaction in man.

DNCB produce a marked reaction, indicating the guinea pigs respond to sensitizing agents.

^{*} A known skin sensitizer.

Study Nos. 75-51-0833-80, 75-51-0834-80, 75-51-0835-80, Oct 75 - Apr 80

- 5. CONCLUSION. Technical grade compounds AI3-36325 and AI3-36328 caused moderate corneal and conjunctival irritations; AI3-36326 cause a similar, but milder, irritation. AI3-36326 and AI3-36328 caused mild primary skin irritation when applied neat; AI3-36325 and AI3-36326 caused a similar reaction when applied as a 25 percent weight-volume solution in ethanol.
- 6. RECOMMENDATION. Under the provisions of the Memorandum of Understanding (paragraph 1b), it is recommended that individuals using these compounds be cautioned to restrict use from the face and eyes.

ALLEN W. SINGER

CPT, VC

Laboratory Animal Veterinary Officer

Toxicology Division

APPROVED:

Study Nos. 75-51-0833-80, 75-51-0834-80, 75-51-0835-80, Oct - Apr 80

APPENDIX

TOPICAL HAZARD EVALUATION PROGRAM DEFINITIONS OF CATEGORIES OF COMPOUNDS BEING CONSIDERED FOR ACUTE SKIN APPLICATION

<u>CATEGORY I</u> - Compounds producing no primary irritation of the intact skin or no greater than mild primary irritation of the skin surrounding an abrasion. (INTERPRETATION: No restriction for acute application to the human skin.)

<u>CATEGORY II</u> - Compounds producing mild primary irritation of the intact skin and the skin surrounding an abrasion. (INTERPRETATION: Should be used only on human skin found by examination to have no abrasions or may be used as a clothing impregnant.)

CATEGORY III - Compounds producing moderate primary irritation of the intact skin and the skin surrounding an abrasion. (INTERPRETATION: Should not be used directly on the skin without a prophetic patch test having been conducted on humans to determine irritation potential to human skin. May be used without patch testing, with extreme caution, as clothing impregnants. Compound should be resubmitted in the form and at the intended use concentration so that its irritation potential can be reexamined using other test techniques on animals.)

<u>CATEGORY IV</u> - Compounds producing moderate to severe primary irritation of the intact skin and of the skin surrounding an abrasion and, in addition, producing necrosis, vesiculation, and/or eschars. (INTERPRETATION: Should be resubmitted for testing in the form and at the intended use concentration. Upon resubmission, its irritation potential will be reexamined using other test techniques on animals, prior to possible prophetic patch testing in humans, at concentrations which have been shown not to produce primary irritation in animals.)

<u>CATEGORY V</u> - Compounds impossible to classify because of staining of the skin or other masking effects owing to physical properties of the compound. (INTERPRETATION: Not suitable for use on humans.)

EYE CATEGORIES:

- A. <u>Compounds noninjurious to the eye</u>. INTERPRETATION: Irritation of human eyes is not expected if the compound should accidentally get into the eyes, provided it is washed out as soon as possible.
- B. <u>Compounds producing mild injury to the cornea</u>. INTERPRETATION: Should be used with caution around the eyes.
- C. Compounds producing mild injury to the cornea, and in addition some injury to the conjunctiva. INTERPRETATION: Should be used with caution around the eyes and mucosa.
- D. <u>Compounds producing moderate injury to the cornea</u>. INTERPRETATION: Should be used with extreme caution around the eyes.
- E. <u>Compounds producing moderate injury to the cornea, and in addition producing some injury to the conjunctiva</u>. INTERPRETATION: Should be used with extreme caution around the eyes and mucosa.
- F. Compounds producing severe injury to the cornea and to the conjunctiva. INTERPRETATION: Should be used with extreme caution. It is recommended that use be restricted to areas other than the face.

6

(2) Y - Y F